



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Patent Application of Edward T. Buford, III

Serial No: 09/912,692

Group Art Unit: 3747

Filed: 7/26/2001

Examiner: R. Chin

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20321


REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Applicant, through counsel, respectfully requests Reconsideration of the DISMISSAL OF THE PETITION TO REVIVE the about-identified application.


As noted from the record, the subject Petition although timely filed was dismissed because of insufficiency for the filing fee paid with the filing. Applicant was notified that he had two months within which to request reconsideration and pay the requisite fee. The balance due on the fee of \$65.00 is enclosed.

Accordingly it is respectfully requested that the Request for Reconsideration and be accepted for filing.

Respectfully Submitted,


NIGEL L. SCOTT, Esquire
Pat. Bar # 27,385
Attorney for Applicant
7306 Georgia Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20012-1617
(202) 882-5770
(202) 722-0040 Fax

DA C ✓ JFW



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Patent Application of Edward T. Buford, III

Serial No. 09/912,692

Group Art Unit: 3747

Filed: 07/26/2001

Examiner: R. Chin

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20321

Sir:

RENEWED PETITION TO REVIVE UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Applicant, through counsel, respectfully requests the withdrawal of the Dismissal of the above-identified application. Further, Applicant respectfully requests the revival of the Application pursuant to 37 CFR §1.137(b) as an unintentionally abandoned application. In support of this request, Petitioner states as follows:

1. That. Applicant's original Petition to Revive was dismissed on September 6, 2005 for failure to pay the filing fee with the Petition. The reason for the filing of the Petition and the supporting information with respect to the Petition are of record.


The Petition fee balance of \$65.00 is enclosed.

Applicant reiterates that the entire delay between the reply due and the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

A Terminal Disclaimer under 37 CFR §1.137 (d) is not required.


The relief requested by the Petitioner is stated in the original Petition to Revive, ie
reinstatement of the application and acceptance of the Applicant's Amendment in response to the
First Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,


Nigel L. Scott, Esquire
Patent Bar #27,385
7306 Georgia Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20012
(202) 882-5770
(202) 722-0040 Fax

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the Petition to Revive was mailed to the Mail Stop
AF, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, this 23rd day
November, 2005.


Nigel L. Scott, Esquire